REMARKS

Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the Office is taking the position that the terminology "during being" in claim 1, line 8, is improper.

The rejection of the claims has been overcome by amending the terminology "during being" in Claim 1, lines 8 and 11, to "while being" [transferred].

Removal of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of the claims is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

The Office indicates that claims 1 to 6 would be allowable if amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection has been overcome and, therefore, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Issuance of a notice of allowability and notice of allowance is believed to be in order and is respectfully solicited.

The foregoing is believed to be a complete and proper response to the Office Action dated October 11, 2005, and is believed to place this application in condition for allowance. If, however, minor issues remain that can be resolved by means of a telephone

PATENT APPLN. NO. 10/684,586 RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$1.111 PATENT NON-FINAL

interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

In the event that this paper is not considered to be timely filed, applicant hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for any such extension may be charged to our Deposit Account No. 111833.

In the event any additional fees are required, please also charge our Deposit Account No. 111833.

Respectfully submitted,

KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK

Ronald . Kubovcik Reg. No. 25,401

Atty. Case No. ABE-018
The Farragut Building
Suite 710
900 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 887-9023
Fax: (202) 887-9093
RJK/jbf